

Presentation Review Rubric

Component	Sophisticated (3)	Competent (2)	Needs Improvement (1)	Incomplete (0)
Summary (30%)	A brief but accurate 1-2 sentence summary of each group presentation is provided. The main contribution(s) of each presentation is identified.	A brief but accurate 1-2 sentence summary is provided for most group presentations, but a few lack sufficient detail. An attempt is made to identify the main contribution for most of the presentations.	The summaries provided are incomplete or incorrect. Little attempt is made at summarizing the main contributions.	No summaries were written.
Constructive Criticism (40%)	Each presentation has one strength and one weakness identified. Weaknesses are identified and addressed with concrete ways the presentation could be improved, and are described with appropriate social grace.	Strengths and weaknesses are identified for most of the presentations. Those weaknesses that are identified are accompanied with concrete ways to improve the presentation, described with appropriate social grace.	A weak attempt is made to describe the strengths and weaknesses of each presentation. Weaknesses, if described, are not discussed in a constructive manner and may be impolite.	No attempt at identifying strengths and weaknesses is made.
Writing (30%)	The writing has a clear and argumentative flow, and word choice is appropriate. There are no grammatical errors.	The writing is somewhat poorly organized, and there may be some grammatical errors.	The review is difficult to follow, and there are some grammatical errors.	The review is incoherent, perhaps due to the presence of many grammatical errors.